

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:
Satoshi HIRATSUKA

Serial No.: 10/672,692

Filed: 26 September 2003

Title: CONTENTS SUPPLYING SYSTEM

Group Art Unit: 2437

Examiner: J. Williams

Attorney Docket No.: YAMA-0059

Confirmation No.: 9284

VIA EFS-WEB

12 August 2011

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY
APPLICANT'S SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW

Sir:

This reply is to correct to defect contained in the previous reply filed 18 July 2011. This is timely since it is filed within 30 days from the Notice of the defect dated 12 August 2011. No fee is due. Should this reply require any unaccounted fee, such as for any claim surcharge and any additional extension(s) of time, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees required to maintain the pendency of this application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 18-2056.

REMARKS

Applicant thanks the examiner for the interview held 01 April 2011, where the claims 1 and 9 were discussed to obviate the § 112 rejection.

Specifically, the examiner objected to the specification and rejected all pending claims 1, 4, 5, 9, 11-15 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first and second paragraphs, because the examiner believes that the original specification does not provide support for the language **a server controlling section that at least (a) supplies, via downloading, the contents to each of the information processing terminals associated with the user** as set forth in previously presented claim 1 and similarly set forth in claim 9.

During the interview, the undersigned explained that the limitation outlined above does not mean that the server programmatically downloads to the requested content to all of the user's terminals whenever the content was requested. Rather, the undersigned explained that the intended meaning of the outlined limitation is that the content is downloadable from the server to each of the user's terminals.

During the interview, the examiner pointed out that the claims already recite the feature of the content being downloadable to each of the user's terminals from the server, and thus urged to delete the redundant limitation. In the last reply, the redundant outlined limitation was deleted from claims 1 and 9.

Applicant submits that this completes the record of the substance of the interview. Should the examiner have any issues concerning this reply or any other outstanding issues remaining in this application, applicant urges the examiner to contact the undersigned to expedite prosecution.

Respectfully submitted,
ROSSI, KIMMS & McDOWELL LLP

12 AUGUST 2011
DATE

/Lyle Kimms/
LYLE KIMMS, REG. NO. 34,079

20609 GORDON PARK SQUARE, SUITE 150
ASHBURN, VA 20147
703-726-6020 (PHONE)
703-726-6024 (FAX)
LYLEKIMMS@RKMLLP.COM (EMAIL)